

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/598,806	10/03/2006	Ralph Painta	INA-PT187 (43640-18us)	1589
9634 OF SOUTH STREET VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA. PA 19103			EXAMINER	
			REESE, ROBERT T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO private for reply is specified above, the manner communication of the provision
Status
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2 4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) coepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Information Tisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/05678) Paper No(s)Mail Date Pager No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date. 5) Action of Informal Pater Lapplication 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/598,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed December 16, 2008, has been entered. Claims 1 has been amended, and claims 3 and 5 have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 are now pending in the application.

Response to Amendment

With the cancellation of claim 5, the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/598,806

Art Unit: 3657

 Claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uwe et al. (DE 10253495) in view of Brock et al (2,392,573) further in view of Kraus et al. (2004/0227400).

As per claim 1, Uwe et al disclose: Traction mechanism drive (Figure 1) comprising an integrated generator (attached to element 3) with a traction mechanism roller (exterior of 2), which is arranged on a generator shaft (attached to element 3), on which a traction mechanism is guided (exterior of 2), the traction mechanism roller is decoupleable from a generator shaft of the generator via a freewheel (2) for damping peak loads appearing on a drive side. (Figure 1 depicts all of these features)

However, Uwe et al. does not disclose: the generator is mounted in a displaceable manner in order to set the traction mechanism in tension counter to a restoring force.

Brock et al. disclose a tractor generator mounting in which the generator (13) is displaceably mounted (depicted in figure 1) and is set in tension (by spring 28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the starter generator as taught by Uwe et al. to incorporate the generator mounting as taught by Brock et al. to increase the tension on the drive belt for better performance of the belt drive and to reduce vibrations on the belt

Uwe further does not disclose that the generator is set in tension in a displaceable manner by a hydraulic element.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,806

Art Unit: 3657

Kraus et al. discloses a tensioner with an actuator (25) with a hydraulically operated control element (Paragraph 16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the starter generator as taught by the combination of Uwe et al. and Brock et al. to incorporate the hydraulically controlled actuator as taught by Kraus et. al. to maintain the tension on the drive belt for better performance of the belt drive and to reduce vibrations on the belt.

As per claim 2, Uwe et al. disclose that the generator is a starter generator (paragraph 25, which is the description of Figure 1).

As per claim 4, Brock et al. teaches a tractor generator mounting which includes a mechanical spring element (27).

As per claim 6, Uwe et al. disclose that tractor mechanism is a belt (description of Figure 1).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Kraus et al. teaches a hydraulically controlled actuator (25) which would provide tension in a displaceable manner.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within Application/Control Number: 10/598,806

Art Unit: 3657

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT T. REESE whose telephone number is (571) 270-5794. The examiner can normally be reached on M. F. 7:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,806 Page 6

Art Unit: 3657

RTR

/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657